(3)

(1)

CF/107

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. .....1787..... OF 2003

IN THE MATTER OF

M.P. GARG AGE 54 YEARS SON OF LATE SHRI LAXMI PRASAD GARG, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR-HORTICULTURE, PERMANENT RESIDENT OF DHAWANI STREET NO. 4, P.O. JAWAHAR NAGAR, DISTRICT SATANA (M.P.), AT PRESENT OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR HORTICULTURE, THAKUR PARA, NEAR MAHARANA PARK, KAWARDHA (C.G.)

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY-DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCE AND PENSION, KHAN MARKET NEW DELHI

2. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH, THROUGH SECRETARY, (AGRICULTURE) MANTRALAYA, VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL (M.P.)

3. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, THROUGH SECRETARY, (AGRICULTURE) , MANTRALAYA, D.K.S. BHAWAN, G.E. ROAD, RAIPUR (C.G.)

4. The State Re-organisation Cell
Through Secretary
Vallabh-Bhavan Bhopal MP

RESPONDENTS

P.R. No. 1635/03
Presented by Shri M. Garg
Date 16.06.03

JUN 2003

RECEIVED COPY
18/6
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT

(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 1787 of 2003

Petitioner M. P. Garg

Versus

Respondents Union of India & others

Shri M. K. Bhaduri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondents No. 2 and 4.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(6th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working on the post of Assistant Director - Horticulture in the Agriculture Department, is a permanent resident of District- Satna in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur